

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,915	03/12/2007	David Wallach	30694/41943	5262	
4735 OLO222008 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			STOICA, ELLY GERALD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/575,915 WALLACH ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ELLY-GERALD STOICA 1647 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-62 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1647

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-7, drawn to a method of inhibiting hematopoiesis in a subject comprising downregulating an expression or activity of caspase-8 in the subject.

Group II, claims 8-9, drawn to a method of inhibiting hematopoiesis in a subject, comprising downregulating an expression or activity of at least one polypeptide participating in the caspase-8 signaling pathway in the subject.

Group III, claims 10-23, drawn to a method of treating a disorder characterized by hyperproliferation of hematopoietic cells, comprising downregulating an expression or activity of caspase-8 in the hematopoietic cells of a subject having the disorder.

Group IV, claims 24-30, drawn to a method of generating a hematopoietic cell population *in vitro* suitable for bone marrow replacement therapy.

Group V, claims 31-41, drawn to a method of treating a disorder characterized by hyperproliferation of hematopoietic cells, comprising: in vitro manipulation of donor cells and then transplantation of the cells into a recipient.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,915 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

Group VI, claims 42-62, drawn to a pharmaceutical compound capable of modifying an

activity or expression of caspase-8.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive

concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: inhibitors of

Caspase-8 and therapeutical methods of use for them were known in the art as

evidenced by Golec et al. (WO/01/10383, 02/15/2001).

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The categories of species with the respective species are as follows:

• A) Active agent for downregulating Caspase activity or expression:

(a) a molecule which binds caspase-8;

(b) an enzyme which cleaves caspase-8;

(c) an antisense polynucleotide capable of specifically hybridizing with an mRNA

transcript encoding caspase-8;

(d) a ribozyme which specifically cleaves transcripts encoding caspase-8;

(e) a small interfering RNA (siRNA) molecule which specifically cleaves caspase

8 transcripts;

(f) a non-functional analogue of at least a catalytic or binding portion of caspase

8

(g) a molecule which prevents caspase-8 activation or substrate binding.

Art Unit: 1647

 (h) a vector for inducing and/or enhancing the endogenous production of an endogenous inhibitor of caspase-8; and/or

- (i) a vector for inhibiting the endogenous production of endogenous caspase-8.
  - B) Polypeptides from the Caspase-8 signaling pathway:

CASP3, CASP4, CASP6, CASP7, CASP9 and CASP10.

· C) Diseases:

acute myelogenous leukemia, acute molymphocytic leukemia, acute lymphocytic leukemia, acute lymphocytic leukemia, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, chronic myeloid leukemia and moldering leukemia.

If Applicant chooses any of the Inventions I, III-VI, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species from category A, to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. In Addition, if Applicant chooses any of the inventions III, , V or VI, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species from category C, to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. If Applicant chooses The Invention VI, a supplemental election of a single species from Category B) is required. Finally, If Applicant chooses Invention II Applicant is required, to elect a single species from category B only. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1647

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Category A): Claims 1-7, 10-62.

Category B): Claims 8, 9, 42-62.

Category C): Claims 10-23, 31-62.

The following claims are generic: 1, 8, and 10.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they represent different compounds or diseases that have different structures and mechanisms of action or etiologies and treatment methods respectively.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/575,915

Art Unit: 1647

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the

Art Unit: 1647

above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELLY-GERALD STOICA whose telephone number is (571)272-9941. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath N. Rao can be reached on (571) 272-0939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lorraine Spector/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647